

Do a phrase all the good & chattels of Joseph H. Vick D. that may be produced to them and make due return of the same.

St. M. Smith having you before a justice of the peace of this County, and made oath that ^{and for right and true} ~~Mr. Hick~~ ^{Mr. Hick} is justly indebted to him in the sum of one hundred dollars, and that the said sum is now due and that to the best of said affiant's belief the said M. M. Hick has ~~in fact~~ ^{is} removing his effects out of this Commonwealth so that there will probably not be therein sufficient effects ^{sufficient to satisfy} ~~to satisfy~~ the aforesaid claim of said affiant when judgment ^{is obtained} ~~is obtained~~ ^{therefor} should only the ordinary process of law be used to obtain ^{such} judgment. And the said justice having thereupon issued an attachment against the goods and chattels of the said M. M. Hick returnable to this Court, which has been levied on sundry goods and chattels; this day came the parties by their attorneys, ^{and} the said M. M. Hick by his attorney moved the Court to quash the said attachment upon the grounds that the said attachment was issued on false suggestions or without sufficient cause, but the Court after hearing the arguments of counsel in opposition thereto overruled said motion. And thereupon the said defendant filed two pleas in writing and pleaded non assumpsit to which several pleas the plaintiff by his attorney replied generally, and thereupon came a jury trial; Andrew J. Vick, Edwin Bradshaw, Thomas D. Jager, Jas. H. Hildy, E. M. Williams, Robt. H. Vick, D. D. Day, Robert W. Lewis, John Dutton, Marston Edwards, Edwin R. Fries and Leonard B. Cobb, who being elected by lot, tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined, upon their oath returned a verdict in the following words and figures: "We the jury find for the plaintiff as per his Damages at \$148. 96" Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the Damages as per as aforesaid with legal interest thereon from the 17th day of December 1860, till paid and his costs by him in this behalf expended. And it is ordered that the officer who levied the said attachment sell and dispose of the goods and chattels attached as aforesaid for and towards satisfaction of the plaintiff's judgment in the same manner as goods taken in execution upon a writ of fieri facias. And the said officer is required to return to the Clerk's office within thirty days after such sale is made and complete an account of the sales, specifying therein the several articles sold, the persons to whom sold and the prices thereof. And after satisfying this judgment retain the balance in his hands to avoid the execution of other cases in attachment pending in this Court against the said M. M. Hick.

St. M. Smith

against

M. M. Hick

Attachment

The plaintiff not further prosecuting in this cause it is ordered that the same be dismissed.